http://www.usclcorp.com



Via Email electronic receipt return requested

October 19, 2015

Mr. Jeffrey H. Michel Sommerhuder Strabe 23 22769 Hamburg, Germany

Ref: Your letter dated 07 October 2015

Dear Jeffrey:

We are in receipt of your October 7, 2015 email. Its hardcopy counterpart has been returned to you unopened via post.

- 1. In my last letter to you dated June 30, 2015 you were advised on the matter of us contacting USCL Shareholders regarding your request that they pay monies to you. There is no change in our position nor will there be. The reasons were explained previously. Shareholders have no liability for a corporate obligation. And none will pay you a penny.
- 2. Once again you bring up Israel and in multiple contexts. Please note for the record USCL entered into a MOU and development of technology agreement in May 2005 with an Israeli firm. It was announced by the Governor. See attached photograph of Ray Presgrave, Emily Tamarkin and me with our proposed technology partner at their offices in Yokneam, Israel on May 3, 2005 after signature. And may I remind you that at all times you served as a director, USCL planned to install and operate a beta site project in Ariel, Israel. Victor, our engineer, spent time in Ariel on the project as did Sima. You yourself spent time in Mayor Nachman's office in Ariel, Israel with me.
- 3. USCL presently has no cash and has not maintained a bank account for some time. The company has had no revenue and due to the judgments and lawsuits no investors are interested in investing in USCL. There have been no new investors in the company since 2010.
- 4. I did not personally guarantee the agreements leading to your judgment nor did I execute any pledge agreement. I have no personal liability to you what so ever. You are getting incorrect advice from your "counsel." Your judgment is against USCL. The UCC-1 is simply a public notice statement advising parties that assets held by the

named party may be pledged to another party. That statement by itself does not encumber assets.

- 5. You are nowhere near "one of the largest investors" either in shares held or cash invested. There are approximately 7,700,000 shares outstanding. You hold 80,000. That equates to approximately 1.04% of the company.
- 6. Your status as a shareholder is wholly different than your status as a creditor. Your shareholder obligations and rights are defined in the Shareholder/Investor Agreements you signed and the associated Private Placement Offering Memorandum's as referenced therein.
- 7. Your judgment has a life of ten years and it may be extended multiple times. However that is purely academic if the company has no cash to pay you.

Today, the company has no cash and no prospects of obtaining cash for the reasons stated to you many times before.

Therefore, I have been directing my efforts on the plan shared with the shareholders on the broadcast list on which you are included. This is the ONLY HOPE to obtain funds to pay you off and move the company forward. It is a complex plan and it is predicated on the USCL \$2.7 million loss carry forward.

Jeffrey I understand your desire to be paid back now but there are no funds available. I must move this plan further. It will take some time.

If I do not pursue this plan the only alternative is to place the company in a federal bankruptcy chapter and most likely I would have to do the same personally because of the Judgment against me by Clara resulting from our good faith efforts to help Clara recover monies from Jack Mador, and some other company related liabilities.

If the company is placed in a bankruptcy, Bob Block has the first position on the patents as explained in the June 30, 2015 letter to you. In that event Bob would most likely have the patents auctioned off and he would be entitled to the proceeds accordingly. Any sums received in excess of amounts due him would, of necessity, be turned over to the bankruptcy court for appropriate distribution to the secured creditors pursuant to the legal priorities of status. The problem with this is that the nature of the patents is such that it is highly unlikely that they will receive bids great enough to pay Bob 100% of the liability to him, let alone you and other creditors.

I do not want to discharge the liabilities through such a chapter proceeding. As stated before the company has a \$2.7 million loss carry forward which we want to preserve. I have had many shareholders asking for letters certifying that the company is out of business or to file for bankruptcy so that they can gain whatever tax benefit they can from a "write off." I have continually refused to do so and have pointed out how lucky our shareholders and creditors are because of my determination and persistence to turn the company around. Anyone else would have filed for bankruptcy many years ago and moved on so to speak.

As explained to you in the previous June 30, 2015 letter, the patent certificates are in the custodial care of Bob Block's attorney and you were given that attorney's contact information.

The plan I am working on has the potential of remedying the current problems and providing the needed cash to both move USCL forward and pay off all the creditors. Once we are at that point we can address the stock situation with you.

No matter how many letters you write ,and demands you make, these fundamental dynamics will not change in terms of any party's ability to pay you anything.

I have been advised by counsel, both for the company and personally on these issues and there is no more I can say to you at this time.

For your convenience I also attach a copy of the last June 30, 2015 letter I sent you.

Sincerely,

USCL Corporation,

Tomer (Tom) Tamarkin

President &

Tom D. Tamarkin, an individual

CC: Robert S. Block via email